RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LANGUAGE LEARNING STRATEGIES AND ENGLISH LANGUAGE PERFORMANCE AMONG LIBYAN SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN MALAYSIA

BY
AHMED ALI AHMED SAHEM



INFRASTRUCTURE UNIVERSITY
KUALA LUMPUR

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment as the Requirement for the Master of Arts in teaching of English to speakers of other languages by coursework in the Faculty of Faculty of Arts, Communication and Education Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur

IUKL

2017

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Arts in teaching of English to speakers of other languages.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LANGUAGE LEARNING STRATEGIES AND ENGLISH LANGUAGE PERFORMANCE AMONG LIBYAN SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN MALAYSIA

\mathbf{BY}

AHMED ALI AHMED SAHEM

Faculty: Faculty of Faculty of Arts, Communication and Education

Chair: Madam. Suraya Amirrudin

Every student in any school in this world has his/her own way learning and acquiring a second language. By saying that we mean each and every student has his/her own strategy to learn that target language. In language learning, scholars have highlighted many language learning strategies. Those strategies can be effective for some learners and less effective to the others. Furthermore, the study about language learning strategies has been in the field for almost 20 years. With the small gap, that study that looked into Libyan secondary school students was not many, researcher embarked on this journey. The current research was using the model by Oxford (1990). Oxford's LLS are the fundamental of this study. Two theories were used; Cognitive Learning Theory by O'Malley and Chamot (1990) and Social Learning Theory (SLT) by Bandura (1989). The study employed a quantitative approach with a survey as its design. Data for this study was collected through Strategy Inventory for Language Learning (SILL) questionnaire that was adapted from Oxford (1990). The questionnaire contained the six different types of English language learning strategies; Memory, Cognitive, Compensation, Metacognitive, Affective and Social. The data were collected from three different schools: Damai Libyan School, Kajang, Kuala Lumpur Libyan School, Ampang and Global Modern Libyan School, Cheras. All data collected were analyzed by using the (SPSS) version 24. The findings showed that the Libyan secondary school students possessed all the six language learning strategies. The language learning strategies are also significant with the gender variable and finally, five out of six language learning strategies have a relationship with the English language performance. As a conclusion, the study has come up with two kinds of implications; theoretical and pedagogical implications. In other words, the study has managed to contribute to the theories used to underpin the study and to the teaching and learning.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest love to my family who has supported me with all the guidance and help that I needed to complete this study.

Next, I would like to thank my supervisor, Madam Suraya Binti Amirrudin whom being so patient and kind in order for me to finish my project paper. I am glad and lucky that I have met and known her to be accepted as her supervisee in this MA project paper as she shared a very intellectual environment to work under her guidance in every stage of this project paper. Her inspiration and sustenance is a helpful feature to my passion for accomplishing my dream for completing this project paper.

I would like to say thank you to my other lectures:Professor Dr. Siti Maziha bt Mustapha, Dr. Selva Seloamoney Palaniandy, Mr. Harold Poong Wan Hing and Dr. Karthiyaini Devarajoo. Thanks for the excellent teaching of the courses I took with them.

I extend my special thanks and appreciation to all of my brothers and sisters for their continuous support during my studies and to the people who helped me while I am in Malaysia.

I would like to thank all my classmates and colleagues and all the research participants who have participated in the data collection, they are from three different Libyan schools in the Kalng Valley.

Lastly I would like to thank all of my friends who are in Libya for their support and encouragement.

APPROVAL

We have	examined	this	manuscript	and	verify	that	it	meets	the	programme	and
Jniversit	y requireme	ents	for the degre	ee of	Master	of	Art	s in Te	eachi	ing of Englis	sh to
Speakers	of Other La	angua	ages.								

Name of Supervisor : Mac

: Madam. Suraya Amirrudin

Name of Faculty

: Faculty of Arts, Communication and Educations

Name of University

: Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur (IUKL)

Signature

Name of Internal Examiner

: Harold Poong Wan Hing

Name of Faculty

: Faculty of Arts, Communication and Educations

Name of University

: Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur (IUKL)

Signature

Associate Professor Dr Manal Mohsen Abood

Director, Centre for Postgraduate Studies

IUKL

Date. 28/4/17

Assoc Prof. Dr Manal Mohsen Abood

Director

Centre for Postgraduate Studies
rastructure University Kuala Lumpur (IUKL)

DECLARATION

I, Ahmed Ali Ahmed Sahem hereby declare this project paper is based on my original work except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged, I also declare that it has not previously or concurrently submitted for any degree at IUKL or other institutions.

Name: Ahmed Ali Ahmed Sahem

Date: 251411017

TABLE OF CONTENTS ABSTRACT ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	Page ii iii
APPROVEL	iv
DECLARATION	v
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
CHAPTER	1
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background of the Study	2
1.3 Statement of the Problem	3
1.4 Purpose of the Study	4
1.5 Research Objectives	5
1.6 Research Questions	5
1.7 Research Hypotheses	5
1.8 Significance of the Study	5
1.9 Limitations of the Study	6
1.10 Definition of Terms	7
1.10.1 Language Learning Strategies	7
1.10.2 English Language Performance	7
1.10.3 Libyan Schools	8
1.10.3.1 In Libya	8
1.10.3.2 In Malaysia	8
1.10.3.3 EFL Students	9
1.11 Conclusion	10
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Language Learning Strategies	11
2.3 Classification of Language Learning Strategies	12
2.3.1 Joan Rubin's classification	13
2.3.2 Rebecca L. Oxford's classification	14
2.4 English Language Performance	17
2.5 Gender Differences in Language Learning Strategies	19
2.6 Theories Underpinning the Study	23

2.6.1 Cognitive Learning Theory by O'Malley and Chamot (1990)	23
2.6.2 Social Learning Theory (Bandura, 1989)	25
2.7 Theoretical Framework	26
2.8 Conclusion	27
3 METHODOLOGY	28
3.1 Introduction	28
3.2 Research Design	28
3.3 Population and Sample	28
3.3.1 Setting	28
3.3.2.1 Population	29
3.3.2.2 Sample	29
3.3.2.3 Sampling Procedure	29
3.4 Instrumentation	30
3.4.1 Questionnaire	30
3.5 Pilot study	30
3.6 Research Framework	32
3.7 Reliability and Validity	33
3.7.1 Reliability of the Study	33
3.7.2Validity of the Study	33
3.8 Data Collection	34
3.9 Data Analysis	34
3.10 Conclusion	35
4 RESULTS AND DISSCUSIONS	36
4.1 Introduction	36
4.2 Findings Based on Demographic Background	36
4.3 Findings for the Research Questions	40
4.3.1 Research Question 1	40
4.3.2 Research Question 2	41
4.3.3 Hypothesis 1	42
4.3.4 Research Question3	42
4.4 Conclusion	44
5 SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FU	TURE
RESEAERCH	45 45
5.1 Introduction	45
5.2 Conclusion	43

5.3 Implications of the Study	49
5.3.1 Theoretical Implications	49
5.3.2 Pedagogical Implications	52
5.4 Recommendations for Future Research	53
5.5 Conclusion	54
REFERENCES	55
APPENDIX	59

LIST OF TABELS

Table/No	Description	Page
Table 4.1	Gender distribution	43
Table 4.2	Respondents' range of age	44
Table 4.3	Respondents' Year of Study	45
Table 4.4	Respondents' English Language Grade	46
Table 4.5	Language learning strategies adopted by Libyan secondary school	ol
	students	47
Table 4.6	T-test results for gender differences	48
Table 4.7	Pearson correlation results for significant relationship	50

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure/No	Description	Pag
Figure 2.1	Theoretical Framework	26
Figure 3.	Research Framework	32
Figure 4.1	Respondents' Gender	37
Figure 4.2	Respondents' Range of Age	38
Figure 4.3	Respondents' Year of Study	. 39
Figure 4.4	English language performance	4(

ALCO SE

ā

X

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Every student in any school in this world has his/her own way learning and acquiring a second language. By saying that we mean each and every student has his/her own strategy to learn that target language. There are a lot of strategies to learn a second language inside the classroom or even outside the classroom when living in a country that speaks that target language as their first or even a second language. Those strategies can be effective for some learners and less effective to the others.

Why do students come to classes that they do not like? or studying a subject that they hate. That is how most of the students think if they are studying engineering in their country, for example, they do not have to learn English or even want to know anything about the language. That is the issue that it is happening every day in such a country where they come from. This is according to Saaid (2010).

The study between language learning strategies and English language performance has long been established due to the reasons as the above. As many schools in the multicultural countries progress towards student-centred pedagogy, understanding how students study and factors that influence their learning has become important. This is also parallel to the important of determining what they learn. In China, for example, Yin (2008) said: "knowledge of English has increasingly become a tool for participation in the international arena, and the number of English language learners is growing at an unprecedented rate". In conclusion, research on foreign language learners of English is receiving mounting attention.

Thus to understand the situation in more details chapter one of this study will tell you the full story behind that, beginning with the background of the study, problem statement, purpose of the study, research objectives, research questions, hypothesis, significance of the study, limitations of the study and the definitions of the most important terms.

REFERENCES

Akbar, S., Vahdany, F., and Arjmandi, M. (2014). The Relationship between Gender and Learning Strategies. *Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods*. 13(3-4), 145-149.

Anderson, J. R. (1983). The architecture of cognition. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Bandura, A. (1989). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Chang, S. F. (2009). Language learning motivation and language learning strategies of Taiwanese EFL students. *Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods*. 20(2-1), 45-50.

Chen, I. (2002). Language learning strategies used by high and low English proficiency students in a technology college. Unpublished thesis, National Changhua University of Education, Changhua, Taiwan.

Chamot, A.U. and El-Dinary, P.B. (1999). Children's learning strategies in language immersion classrooms. *Modern Language Journal*. 83, 319-338.

Cohen, A. D. (1998). Strategies in learning and using a second language. Harlow, England: Longman.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research Design Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches (4th ed., p. 304). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.

Ellis, R. (1994). The study of second language acquisition. *Modern Language Journal*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Green J. M., and Oxford, R. (1995). A closer look at learning strategies, L2 proficiency, and gender. *TESOL Quarterly*. 25. 319-338

Griffiths, C. (2003). Patterns of language learning strategy use. System, 31, 367-383.

Hardan, A.A. (2013). Language Learning Strategies: A General Overview. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 4th International Conference on New Horizons in Education*. 106 (10). Pages 1712-1726.

Liyanage, I. and Bartlett, B. (2011). Gender and language learning strategies: looking beyond the categories. *The Language Learning Journal*. 34 (12). Pages 451-457.

Jane K. L et.al (2014). Strategies and Problems Encountered by Teachers in Implementing Mother Tongue - Based Instruction in a Multilingual Classroom. *The Language Learning Journal*. 34 (12). Pages 451-457.

Junaidi, M. and Atik, U. (2014). Strategies of Learning Speaking Skill by Indonesian Learners of English and their Contribution to Speaking Proficiency. *TEFLIN Journal*. Volume 25 No 2

Kachru, B. B. (1985). Standards, codification and sociolinguistic realism: the English language in the outer circle. *In R. Quirk and H.G. Widdowson (Eds), English in the world: Teaching and learning the language and literatures.* 11-30. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Kumpulainen, K. and Wray, D. (Eds.) (2002). Classroom interaction and social learning. From theory to practice. London: RoutledgeFalmer.

Ogenyi, L.C. (2015). Strategy Use and Language Performance by Second Language Learners in Nigeria. *Scientific Research An Academic Publisher*. 11 (30). 21-25

Lee, J. and Heinz, M. (2016). English Language Learning Strategies Reported By Advanced Language Learners. *Journal of International Education Research – Second Quarter 2016*. 12 (2). 667-671.

Marlyna, M. and Noor Saazai, M.S. (2016). The Out-of-Class Language Learning Strategies of International Students in Malaysia. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*. 12 (2). 667-671.

Maria, P. and Athena, S.. (2014). Exploring relationships with grade level, gender and language proficiency in the foreign language learning strategy use of children and early adolescents. *International Journal of Research Studies in Language Learning 2015 January*, 4 (1). 83-96.

McVay, C.J. (2015) Everything Changed: Experiences or International Students Affected by a Home Country Crisis. A published thesis

McLaughlin, B. 1987. Theories of second language learning. London: Edward Arnold

Mehmet, Eyüp, Ş. I. and İbrahim S. Ö. (2016). The Effects of Language Learning Strategies on Academic Achievement. *Journal of Educational and Instructional Studies in the World*. August 2016. 6 (3). 740-746.

O'Malley, J.M., & Chamot, A.U. (1990). Learning strategies in second language acquisition. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.

Oxford, R. L. (1990). Language learning strategies: What every teacher should know. Boston, MA: Heinle & Heinle.

Oxford, R. L. and Burry-Stock, J. A. (1995). Assessing the use of language learning strategies worldwide with the ESL/EFL version of the Strategy Inventory for Language Learning (SILL). *Journal of Educational and Instructional Studies* 23 (1). 1-23.

Park, G. P. (1997). Language learning strategies and English proficiency in Korean university students. *Foreign Language Annals*. 30 (12). 211-221.

Rao, Z. (2012). Language learning strategies and English proficiency: interpretations from information-processing theory. *The Language Learning Journal*. 44 (1). 12-17.

Campbel, R. and Qorro, M. (1997). The Language Crisis in Tanzania: the Myth of English versus Education. *DSM: Mkuki na Nyota Publishers*.

Rubin, J. (1975). What the "good language learner" can teach us. *TESOL Quarterly*, 9. 41-51.

Rubin, J. (1981). The study of cognitive processes in second language learning. *Applied Linguistics*. 9 (6). 21-27.

Rubin, J. (1987). Learner strategies: Theoretical assumptions, research history and typology. In A. Wenden & J. Rubin (Eds.), Learner strategies in language learning. *Applied Linguistics* New York, NY: Prentice Hall. 10 (6). 15-30.

Saaid. N. (2010). The use of Technology (Audiovisual Aids) in teaching English as a foreign language in Benghazi secondary schools. (Unpublished Master thesis). Leicester University

Sarojini. K and Hamed, E (2011). Investigating Libyan Teachers' Attitudes Towards Integrating Technology in Teaching English in Sebha Secondary Schools. 1 (3).

Suwaed and Rohouma (2015). A New Vision of Professional Development for University Teachers in Libya 'It's Not an Event, It Is a Process. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*. 3(10)

Tavakol M, Mohagheghi MA, Dennick R. (2011). Making Sense of Cronbach's Alpha. *International Journal of Medical Education*

Thatcher, R. (2010). Validity and reliability of quantitative electroencephalography (qEEG). *Journal of Neurotherapy*, 14. 122-152.

Twycross, A. and Shields, L. (2004). Validity and reliability - What's it all about? Part 2 Reliability in quantitative studies. *Paediatric Nursing Journal*, 16 (10) p. 36

Vuzo, M. (2012). A comparative analysis of teaching and learning strategies used in government and private primary schools in Tanzania, *in: Qorro, M., Desai, Z. & Brock-Utne, B. A key to understanding what the teacher is saying.* Dar es Salaam, KAD Associates.

Wharton, G. (2000). Language learning strategy use of bilingual foreign language learners in Singapore. *Language Learning Journal*. 50. 203-243.

Yang, N. (1999). The relationship between EFL learners' beliefs and learning strategy use. *Language Learning System Journal*, 27, 515-535

Yayla, A., Kozikoglu, I. and Celik, S.N. (2016). A Comparative Study of Language Learning Strategies Used by Monolingual and Bilingual Learners. *European Scientific Journal*. 12 (26).

Yin, C. (2008). Language learning strategies in relation to attitudes, motivations, and learner beliefs: Investigating learner variables in the context of English as a foreign language in China. *Published Thesis*.

APPENDIX: SILL QUETSIONNAIRE Oxford (1990)
Dear students,
This questionnaire is designed to investigate the English language learning strategies
among the Libyan secondary school students in Malaysia. Therefore, I would
appreciate if you could answer all questions in the questionnaire.

Section A: Demographic information

1-	Gende	r:	Male		Female			
2-	Age:	{15-16}	{17-1	8}	{19-20}			
3-	Year o	f Study:	{Year	1}	{Year	2}	{Year	3}
4-	Englis	h Langua	ige Grad	le: _	·			

Section B: Strategy Inventory for Language Learning (SILL)

Please read each statement and circle the number indicating HOW TRUE THE STATEMENT IS.

1= Never True, 2= Usually Not True, 3=Somewhat True, 4= Usually True and 5= Always True

	Part A									
	Statement	Never True	Usually Not True	Somewhat True	Usually True	Always True				
	I think of relationship									
1	between what I already									
1	know and new things I									
	learn in the SL.									
	I use new SL words in a									
2	sentence so I can	37								
	remember them.									
3	I connect the sound of a									
	new SL word and an					20				
	image or picture of the									
	word to help me									
	remember the word.					4				